

## Complete Solutions to Exercise 7.5

1. (i) As 3 and 7 are prime numbers so  $(3/7)$  is a Legendre symbol:

$$\left(\frac{3}{7}\right) = -\left(\frac{7}{3}\right) = -\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = -1 \quad \left[\text{Because } 7 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}\right]$$

(ii) We need to work out  $\left(\frac{3}{49}\right)$ . The prime decomposition of  $49 = 7^2$  so we have

$$\left(\frac{3}{49}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{7^2}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{7}\right) \times \left(\frac{3}{7}\right)$$

By part (i) we have  $\left(\frac{3}{7}\right) = -1$  so  $\left(\frac{3}{49}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{7}\right) \times \left(\frac{3}{7}\right) = -1 \times (-1) = 1$ .

Note that although  $(3/49) = 1$  but there are *no* solutions to  $x^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{49}$  because by part (i) we have  $x^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$  has *no* solutions.

2. (a) We need to find  $\left(\frac{26}{27}\right)$ . Firstly note that  $26 \equiv -1 \pmod{27}$  and  $27 = 3^3$  therefore

$$\left(\frac{26}{27}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{3^3}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{3}\right)^3 \quad (\ddagger)$$

Now we use the test for  $-1$  modulo  $p$  where  $p$  is a prime:

$$\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

In our case we have  $p = 3 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  so by using this result  $\left(\frac{-1}{3}\right) = -1$ . Substituting this into  $(\ddagger)$  gives

$$\left(\frac{26}{27}\right) = (-1)^3 = -1$$

(b) Writing the prime decomposition of the integers in the Jacobi symbol  $\left(\frac{12}{115}\right)$  is

$$12 = 2^2 \times 3 \text{ and } 115 = 5 \times 23$$

We have

$$\left(\frac{12}{115}\right) = \left(\frac{2^2 \times 3}{115}\right) = \underbrace{\left(\frac{2^2}{115}\right)}_{=1} \times \left(\frac{3}{115}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{115}\right)$$

Using  $115 = 5 \times 23$  we have

$$\left(\frac{3}{115}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{5 \times 23}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{5}\right) \times \left(\frac{3}{23}\right) \quad (*)$$

Now on the right hand side we have Legendre symbols so we can use LQR and its corollary to evaluate  $\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)$  and  $\left(\frac{3}{23}\right)$ :

$$\left(\frac{3}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{5}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \quad [\text{Because } 5 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}]$$

Similarly for the other term on the right hand side of (\*) we have

$$\left(\frac{3}{23}\right) = -\left(\frac{23}{3}\right) = -\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \quad [\text{Because } 23 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}]$$

We need to evaluate the Jacobi symbol  $(2/3)$  in each case. *How?* By applying

$$(7.15) \quad \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

With  $p = 3 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  we have  $\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = -1$ . Substituting this into (\*) gives

$$\left(\frac{3}{115}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{5}\right) \times \left(\frac{3}{23}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \times \left[-\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\right] = -1 \times [ -(-1) ] = -1$$

(c) We need to evaluate  $\left(\frac{128}{1001}\right)$ . The prime factorization of both these numbers is:

$$128 = 2^7 \text{ and } 1001 = 7 \times 11 \times 13$$

Therefore

$$\left(\frac{128}{1001}\right) = \left(\frac{2^7}{7 \times 11 \times 13}\right) = \left(\frac{2^7}{7}\right) \times \left(\frac{2^7}{11}\right) \times \left(\frac{2^7}{13}\right)$$

Applying Proposition (7.22) part (c):

$$\left(\frac{a \times b}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \times \left(\frac{b}{n}\right)$$

To the above calculation yields

$$\left(\frac{128}{1001}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{7}\right)^7 \times \left(\frac{2}{11}\right)^7 \times \left(\frac{2}{13}\right)^7 \quad (\dagger)$$

Again using the test for residue 2 modulo  $p$  where  $p$  is prime:

$$(7.15) \quad \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

For each of the cases in ( $\dagger$ ) we have

$$\left(\frac{2}{7}\right)^7 \underset{\text{because } 7 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}}{\equiv} 1^7 = 1, \quad \left(\frac{2}{11}\right)^7 \underset{\text{because } 11 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}}{\equiv} (-1)^7 = -1 \text{ and } \left(\frac{2}{13}\right)^7 \underset{\text{because } 13 \equiv -3 \pmod{8}}{\equiv} (-1)^7 = -1$$

Putting these into ( $\dagger$ ) gives

$$\left(\frac{128}{1001}\right) = 1 \times (-1) \times (-1) = 1$$

Since we have two Legendre symbols equal to  $-1$  so 128 is a quadratic non-residue of 1001.

(d) Using the given factorization we have

$$\left(\frac{72}{5183}\right) = \left(\frac{72}{71 \times 73}\right) = \left(\frac{72}{71}\right) \times \left(\frac{72}{73}\right)$$

Since  $72 \equiv 1 \pmod{71}$  and  $72 \equiv -1 \pmod{73}$  we can use (7.22) part (a):

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \text{ implies } \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{b}{n}\right)$$

$$\left(\frac{72}{5183}\right) = \left(\frac{72}{71}\right) \times \left(\frac{72}{73}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{71}\right) \times \left(\frac{-1}{73}\right) \quad (*)$$

Clearly  $(1/71) = 1$  because 1 is a quadratic residue. For the residue  $-1$  we use

$$\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

In view of  $p = 73 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  we have  $\left(\frac{-1}{73}\right) = 1$ . Putting  $\left(\frac{1}{71}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{73}\right) = 1$  into (\*) gives

$$\left(\frac{72}{5183}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{71}\right) \times \left(\frac{-1}{73}\right) = 1 \times 1 = 1$$

3. Since both the integers in the Jacobi symbol in this question are odd and relatively prime we can use Corollary (7.26) :

$$(7.26) \quad \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} (n/m) & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ or } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -(n/m) & \text{if } m \equiv n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

(a) We are given the Jacobi symbol  $\left(\frac{11}{211}\right)$ .

By Corollary (7.26) with  $11 \equiv 211 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  we have

$$\left(\frac{11}{211}\right) = -\left(\frac{211}{11}\right)$$

Using modular arithmetic  $211 \equiv 2 \pmod{11}$  so by (7.22) part (a):

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \text{ implies } \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{b}{n}\right)$$

We have

$$\left(\frac{11}{211}\right) = -\left(\frac{211}{11}\right) = -\left(\frac{2}{11}\right) \quad (\ddagger)$$

As  $p = 11 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  so by

$$(7.15) \quad \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

We have  $\left(\frac{2}{11}\right) = -1$ . Substituting this into  $(\ddagger)$  yields

$$\left(\frac{11}{211}\right) = -\left(\frac{2}{11}\right) = -(-1) = 1$$

Hence  $\left(\frac{11}{211}\right) = 1$ .

(b) We need to evaluate  $\left(\frac{17}{135}\right)$ . With  $m = 17 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  we have by Corollary (7.26);

$$\left(\frac{17}{135}\right) = \left(\frac{135}{17}\right)$$

As  $135 \equiv 16 \pmod{17}$  therefore by (7.22) part (a):

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \text{ implies } \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{b}{n}\right)$$

We have  $\left(\frac{17}{135}\right) = \left(\frac{135}{17}\right) \stackrel{\text{because } 135 \equiv 16 \pmod{17}}{=} \left(\frac{16}{17}\right) = \left(\frac{4^2}{17}\right)$ . By (7.22) part (c):

$$\left(a^2 / n\right) = 1$$

Hence  $\left(\frac{17}{135}\right) = \left(\frac{4^2}{17}\right) = 1$ .

(c) We are asked to evaluate the Jacobi symbol  $\left(\frac{231}{1025}\right)$ . Both of these are composite odd integers so we can use Corollary:

$$(7.26) \quad \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} (n/m) & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ or } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -(n/m) & \text{if } m \equiv n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

With  $m = 1025 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

$$\left(\frac{231}{1025}\right) = \left(\frac{1025}{231}\right)$$

Since  $1025 \equiv 101 \pmod{231}$  so by (7.22) part (a):

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \text{ implies } \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{b}{n}\right)$$

We have

$$\left(\frac{231}{1025}\right) = \left(\frac{1025}{231}\right) = \left(\frac{101}{231}\right) \quad (\dagger)$$

Using Corollary (7.26) again with  $101 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

$$\left(\frac{101}{231}\right) = \left(\frac{231}{101}\right)$$

Using modular arithmetic we have  $231 \equiv 29 \pmod{101}$ . By (7.22) part (a) again:

$$\left(\frac{231}{101}\right) = \left(\frac{29}{101}\right) \stackrel{\text{by (7.17) as 101 and 29 are prime}}{=} \left(\frac{101}{29}\right) = \left(\frac{14}{29}\right) \quad [\text{Because } 101 \equiv 14 \pmod{29}]$$

Since 14 is even so we cannot use the above corollary.

Factorizing  $14 = 2 \times 7$  therefore

$$\left(\frac{14}{29}\right) = \left(\frac{2 \times 7}{29}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{29}\right) \times \left(\frac{7}{29}\right) \quad (*)$$

By now you must know the formula for testing 2 modulo  $p$ :

$$(7.15) \quad \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

Our prime is  $p = 29 \equiv 5 \equiv -3 \pmod{8}$  so by this formula  $\left(\frac{2}{29}\right) = -1$ .

Working out the last Legendre symbol on the right hand side of (\*)

$$\left(\frac{7}{29}\right) = \left(\frac{29}{7}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{7}\right) = 1$$

Substituting these into (\*) yields  $\left(\frac{14}{29}\right) = (-1) \times 1 = -1$ . By (†) and (\*) we have

$$\left(\frac{231}{1025}\right) = \left(\frac{14}{29}\right) = -1$$

(d) We need to evaluate  $\left(\frac{333}{403}\right)$ . Using Corollary:

$$(7.26) \quad \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} (n/m) & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ or } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -(n/m) & \text{if } m \equiv n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

With  $n = 333 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  we have

$$\left(\frac{333}{403}\right) = \left(\frac{403}{333}\right)$$

We have  $403 \equiv 70 \pmod{333}$  so by (7.22) part (a):

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \text{ implies } \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{b}{n}\right)$$

We have  $\left(\frac{403}{333}\right) = \left(\frac{70}{333}\right)$ . Factorizing these numbers  $70 = 2 \times 5 \times 7$  and  $333 = 3^2 \times 37$ .

By applying the Jacobi symbol definition (7.21):

$$\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p_1}\right)^{k_1} \times \left(\frac{a}{p_2}\right)^{k_2} \times \cdots \times \left(\frac{a}{p_m}\right)^{k_m}$$

We have

$$\left(\frac{70}{333}\right) = \left(\frac{2 \times 5 \times 7}{3^2 \times 37}\right) = \left(\frac{2 \times 5 \times 7}{3}\right)^2 \times \left(\frac{2 \times 5 \times 7}{37}\right) \quad (*)$$

Clearly  $\left(\frac{2 \times 5 \times 7}{3}\right)^2 = 1$ . Only need to evaluate  $\left(\frac{2 \times 5 \times 7}{37}\right)$ . By (7.22) part (c):

$$\left(\frac{a \times b}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \times \left(\frac{b}{n}\right)$$

We have

$$\left(\frac{2 \times 5 \times 7}{37}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{37}\right) \times \left(\frac{5}{37}\right) \times \left(\frac{7}{37}\right) \quad (\ddagger)$$

The right hand side of (‡) are all Legendre symbols. We need to evaluate each of these. By

$$(7.15) \quad \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

With prime  $p = 37 \equiv 5 \equiv -3 \pmod{8}$  we have

$$\left(\frac{2}{37}\right) = -1$$

Working out the middle Legendre symbol on the right hand side of ( $\ddagger$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{5}{37}\right) &\stackrel{\text{By (7.17)}}{=} \left(\frac{37}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{5}\right) \quad [\text{Because } 37 \equiv 2 \pmod{5}] \\ &= -1 \quad [\text{Because } p = 5 \equiv 5 \equiv -3 \pmod{8}] \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the last Legendre symbol on the right hand side of ( $\ddagger$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{7}{37}\right) &\stackrel{\text{By (7.17)}}{=} \left(\frac{37}{7}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{7}\right) \quad [\text{Because } 37 \equiv 2 \pmod{7}] \\ &= 1 \quad [\text{Because } p = 7 \equiv 7 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}] \end{aligned}$$

Substituting all these evaluations into ( $\ddagger$ ) gives

$$\left(\frac{2 \times 5 \times 7}{37}\right) = (-1) \times (-1) \times 1 = 1$$

$$\text{Hence } \left(\frac{333}{403}\right) = 1.$$

4. We are asked to prove  $\left(\frac{a^k}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)^k$  where  $k$  is a positive integer. *How?*

Use mathematical induction.

*Proof.*

For the base case  $k = 2$  we need to show  $\left(\frac{a^2}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)^2$ . Applying (7.22) part (c):

$$\left(\frac{a \times b}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \times \left(\frac{b}{n}\right)$$

With  $a = a$  and  $b = a$  we have our base case

$$\left(\frac{a^2}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \times \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)^2$$

Assume the result is true for  $m = k$ :

$$\left(\frac{a^m}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)^m \quad (*)$$

We are required to prove that  $\left(\frac{a^{m+1}}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)^{m+1}$ . Consider the left hand side of this

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{a^{m+1}}{n}\right) &= \left(\frac{a^m \times a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a^m}{n}\right) \times \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \quad [\text{By (7.22) part (c)}] \\ &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)^m}_{\text{by } (*)} \times \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)^{m+1} \end{aligned}$$

By mathematical induction we have our result.

5. We are asked to prove Corollary (7.26):

$$\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} (n/m) & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ or } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -(n/m) & \text{if } m \equiv n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.*

We consider the two different cases.

Case 1:

First we prove that if  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  then  $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)$ .

Without loss of generality assume  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . There is a positive integer  $k$  such that  $m = 4k + 1$ . We are going to use GLQR (7.25) which means we need to evaluate the index  $\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)$ . Substituting  $m = 4k + 1$  into this gives

$$\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{4k+1-1}{2}\right) = 2k$$

By GLQR

$$(7.25) \quad \left(\frac{n}{m}\right) \times \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)}$$

We have  $\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) \times \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \times 2k} = 1$  because we have an even index. Recall  $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$  and  $\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)$  are Jacobi symbols so they are  $\pm 1$ . We have

$$\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) \times \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = 1 \text{ implies } \left(\frac{n}{m}\right) = \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = 1 \text{ or } \left(\frac{n}{m}\right) = \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = -1$$

Either case we have our required result.

Case 2:

Similarly we prove the case for  $m \equiv n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . There are positive integers  $k$  and  $k'$  such that  $n = 4k + 3$ ,  $m = 4k' + 3$ . Substituting these into indices of GLQR:

$$\frac{n-1}{2} = \frac{4k+3-1}{2} = 2k+1 \text{ and } \frac{m-1}{2} = \frac{4k'+3-1}{2} = 2k'+1$$

By GLQR (7.25) we have

$$\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) \times \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)} = (-1)^{(2k+1)(2k'+1)} = -1 \quad [\text{Because odd index}]$$

Therefore  $\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) \times \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = -1$ . Arguing along the lines of the first case we have

$$\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) = 1 \text{ and } \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = -1 \text{ or } \left(\frac{n}{m}\right) = -1 \text{ and } \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = 1$$

$$\text{Hence } \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = -\left(\frac{n}{m}\right).$$

This completes both cases.

6. (a) We need to prove that  $\left(\frac{-1}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$ .

*Proof.*

By Proposition (7.24) part (a):

$$\left(\frac{-1}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}$$

For the case  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  there is a positive integer  $k$  such that

$$n = 4k + 1$$

Substituting this into the index of (7.24) part (a) we have

$$\frac{1}{2}(n-1) = \frac{1}{2}(4k+1-1) = 2k$$

Putting this into  $\left(\frac{-1}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}$  yields

$$\left(\frac{-1}{n}\right) = (-1)^{2k} = 1 \quad [\text{Because we have an even index}]$$

Similarly for the case  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  there is a positive integer  $m$  such that

$$n = 4m + 3$$

Hence

$$\left(\frac{-1}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(4m+3-1)} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(4m+2)} = (-1)^{2m+1} = -1 \quad [\text{Odd index}]$$

This completes our proof for this part.

(b) For part (b) we have to prove  $\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$ .

*Proof.*

To prove this result we use Proposition (7.24) part (b):

$$\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{8}(n^2-1)}$$

We consider the index of  $-1$ ;

$$\frac{1}{8}(n^2-1) = \frac{1}{8}(n-1)(n+1) \quad (*)$$

Case 1

If  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  then there is a positive integer  $k$  such that

$$n = 8k + 1$$

Putting this into (\*) yields

$$\frac{1}{8}(n-1)(n+1) = \frac{1}{8}(8k+1-1)(8k+1+1) = k(8k+2) = 2k(4k+1) \quad [\text{Even}]$$

Therefore  $\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{8}(n^2-1)} = 1$ .

Case 2



Similarly if  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$  we have an even index so  $\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{8}(n^2-1)} = 1$ .

### Case 3

If  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  then there is a positive integer  $m$  such that

$$n = 8m + 3$$

Putting this into (\*) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{8}(n-1)(n+1) &= \frac{1}{8}(8m+3-1)(8m+3+1) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}(8m+2)(8m+4) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}([8m]^2 + 48m + 8) = 8m^2 + 6m + 1 = 2(m^2 + 3m) + 1 \quad [\text{Odd}] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{8}(n^2-1)} = -1$ .

### Case 4

If  $n \equiv -3 \pmod{8}$  we have an odd index so  $\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{8}(n^2-1)} = -1$ .

We have shown for all four cases the required result.

7. (i) We are asked to show that  $(n-a)^2 \equiv a^2 \pmod{n}$ .

*Proof.*

Expanding the left hand side gives

$$(n-a)^2 \equiv n^2 - 2an + a^2 \equiv 0 + 0 + a^2 \equiv a^2 \pmod{n} \quad [\text{Because } n^2 \text{ and } 2an \text{ are multiples of } n]$$

Hence we have our required result.

(ii) We need to find the quadratic residues of 35. By the result of part (i) we only need to calculate the first half of the residues,  $\frac{35-1}{2} = 17$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} 1^2 &\equiv 1, \quad 2^2 \equiv 4, \quad 3^2 \equiv 9, \quad 4^2 \equiv 16, \quad 5^2 \equiv 25, \quad 6^2 \equiv 1, \quad 7^2 \equiv 49 \equiv 14, \quad 8^2 \equiv 64 \equiv 29, \quad 9^2 \equiv 81 \equiv 11, \\ 10^2 &\equiv 30, \quad 11^2 \equiv 1, \quad 12^2 \equiv 4, \quad 13^2 \equiv 169 \equiv 29, \quad 14^2 \equiv 21, \quad 15^2 \equiv 15, \quad 16^2 \equiv 11, \quad 17^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{35} \end{aligned}$$

The quadratic residues of 35 are

$$1, 4, 9, 11, 14, 15, 16, 21, 25, 29, 30$$

8. We are asked to prove  $\left(\frac{a}{mn}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{m}\right) \times \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)$ .

*Proof.*

Let  $m = \prod_{j=1}^r p_j^{r_j}$  and  $n = \prod_{i=1}^s q_i^{s_i}$  be the prime decompositions of  $m$  and  $n$ . By the Jacobi symbol definition (7.21) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{a}{mn}\right) &= \prod_{j=1}^r \left(\frac{a}{p_j}\right)^{\Gamma_j} \times \prod_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{a}{q_i}\right)^{S_i} \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{m}\right) \times \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)\end{aligned}$$

This is our required result.

9. We need to show  $\left(\frac{a}{p^{2m}}\right) = 1$ .

*Proof.*

We have

$$\left(\frac{a}{p^{2m}}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)^{2m} = (\pm 1)^{2m} = 1$$

Hence we have our result.

10. (a) We are asked to show that  $\left(\frac{a-1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{b-1}{2}\right) \equiv \left(\frac{ab-1}{2}\right) \pmod{2}$ .

*Proof.*

We are given that  $a$  and  $b$  are odd so there are integers  $m$  and  $n$  such that

$$a = 2m+1 \text{ and } b = 2n+1$$

Evaluating the left hand side of the given congruence

$$\left(\frac{a-1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{b-1}{2}\right) \equiv \left(\frac{2m+1-1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{2n+1-1}{2}\right) \equiv m+n \pmod{2}$$

Evaluating the right hand side of the given congruence

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{ab-1}{2}\right) &\equiv \left(\frac{(2m+1)(2n+1)-1}{2}\right) \equiv \frac{4mn+2m+2n+1-1}{2} \\ &\equiv 2mn+m+n \equiv m+n \pmod{2}\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have our given result.

(b) We need to prove that  $\left(\frac{a^2-1}{8}\right) + \left(\frac{b^2-1}{8}\right) \equiv \left(\frac{[ab]^2-1}{8}\right) \pmod{2}$ .

*Proof.*

As for part (a) let  $a = 2m+1$  and  $b = 2n+1$  where  $m$  and  $n$  are integers. Examining the left hand side of the given congruence

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{a^2-1}{8}\right) + \left(\frac{b^2-1}{8}\right) &\equiv \left(\frac{(2m+1)^2-1}{8}\right) + \left(\frac{(2n+1)^2-1}{8}\right) \\ &\equiv \frac{4m^2+4m}{8} + \frac{4n^2+4n}{8} \equiv \frac{4m^2+4m+4n^2+4n}{8} \pmod{8}\end{aligned}$$

Working out the right hand side of the given congruence

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{[ab]^2 - 1}{8} &\equiv \frac{[(2m+1)(2n+1)]^2 - 1}{8} \\
&\equiv \frac{(2m+1)^2(2n+1)^2 - 1}{8} \\
&\equiv \frac{(4m^2 + 4m + 1)(4n^2 + 4n + 1) - 1}{8} \\
&\equiv \frac{16m^2n^2 + 16m^2n + 4m^2 + 16mn^2 + 16mn + 4m + 4n^2 + 4n + 1 - 1}{8} \\
&\equiv \frac{16[m^2n^2 + m^2n + mn^2 + mn]}{8} + \frac{4m^2 + 4m + 4n^2 + 4n}{8} \\
&\equiv 2 \underbrace{[m^2n^2 + m^2n + mn^2 + mn]}_{\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ because it is a multiple of 2}} + \frac{4m^2 + 4m + 4n^2 + 4n}{8} \equiv \frac{4m^2 + 4m + 4n^2 + 4n}{8} \pmod{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have our required result.

11. (a) We are required to prove  $\sum_{j=1}^m k_j \times \frac{(p_j - 1)}{2} \equiv \frac{n-1}{2} \pmod{2}$  where  $n = \prod_{j=1}^m p_j^{k_j}$ .

*Proof.*

Expanding the left hand side of the given congruence:

$$\sum_{j=1}^m k_j \times \frac{(p_j - 1)}{2} = \left[ k_1 \times \frac{(p_1 - 1)}{2} \right] + \left[ k_2 \times \frac{(p_2 - 1)}{2} \right] + \dots + \left[ k_m \times \frac{(p_m - 1)}{2} \right] \quad (\ddagger)$$

Writing the first term on the right hand side of  $(\ddagger)$  as an addition:

$$k_1 \times \frac{(p_1 - 1)}{2} = \underbrace{\frac{(p_1 - 1)}{2} + \frac{(p_1 - 1)}{2} + \dots + \frac{(p_1 - 1)}{2}}_{k_1 \text{ copies}} \quad (*)$$

By the result of the previous question:

$$\left( \frac{a-1}{2} \right) + \left( \frac{b-1}{2} \right) \equiv \left( \frac{ab-1}{2} \right) \pmod{2}$$

Applying this to  $(*)$  yields

$$k_1 \times \frac{(p_1 - 1)}{2} = \underbrace{\frac{(p_1 - 1)}{2} + \frac{(p_1 - 1)}{2} + \dots + \frac{(p_1 - 1)}{2}}_{k_1 \text{ copies}} \equiv \frac{p_1^{k_1} - 1}{2} \pmod{2}$$

Similarly we have

$$k_j \times \frac{(p_j - 1)}{2} \equiv \frac{p_j^{k_j} - 1}{2} \pmod{2} \text{ for } j = 2, 3, \dots, m$$

Putting each of these into  $(\ddagger)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^m k_j \times \frac{(p_j - 1)}{2} &\equiv \left[ \frac{p_1^{k_1} - 1}{2} \right] + \left[ \frac{p_2^{k_2} - 1}{2} \right] + \dots + \left[ \frac{p_m^{k_m} - 1}{2} \right] \\
&\equiv \left[ \frac{p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_m^{k_m} - 1}{2} \right] \equiv \left[ \frac{n-1}{2} \right] \pmod{2} \quad [\text{Because } n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_m^{k_m}]
\end{aligned}$$

We have our required result.

(b) We are asked to prove  $\sum_{j=1}^m k_j \times \frac{(p_j^2 - 1)}{8} \equiv \frac{n^2 - 1}{8} \pmod{2}$ . The proof of this result is very similar to part (a).

*Proof.*

Expanding the left hand side of the given congruence:

$$\sum_{j=1}^m k_j \times \frac{(p_j^2 - 1)}{8} = \left[ k_1 \times \frac{(p_1^2 - 1)}{8} \right] + \left[ k_2 \times \frac{(p_2^2 - 1)}{8} \right] + \cdots + \left[ k_m \times \frac{(p_m^2 - 1)}{8} \right] \quad (\ddagger)$$

Writing the first term on the right hand side of  $(\ddagger)$  as an addition:

$$k_1 \times \frac{(p_1^2 - 1)}{8} = \underbrace{\frac{(p_1^2 - 1)}{8} + \frac{(p_1^2 - 1)}{8} + \cdots + \frac{(p_1^2 - 1)}{8}}_{k_1 \text{ copies}} \quad (*)$$

By the result of the previous question part (b):

$$\left( \frac{a^2 - 1}{8} \right) + \left( \frac{b^2 - 1}{8} \right) \equiv \left( \frac{[ab]^2 - 1}{8} \right) \pmod{2}$$

Applying this to  $(*)$  yields

$$k_1 \times \frac{(p_1^2 - 1)}{8} = \underbrace{\frac{(p_1^2 - 1)}{8} + \frac{(p_1^2 - 1)}{8} + \cdots + \frac{(p_1^2 - 1)}{8}}_{k_1 \text{ copies}} \equiv \frac{(p_1^{k_1})^2 - 1}{8} \pmod{2}$$

Similarly we have

$$k_j \times \frac{(p_j^2 - 1)}{8} \equiv \frac{(p_j^{k_j})^2 - 1}{8} \pmod{2} \text{ for } j = 2, 3, \dots, m$$

Putting each of these into  $(\ddagger)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^m k_j \times \frac{(p_j^2 - 1)}{8} &= \left[ k_1 \times \frac{(p_1^2 - 1)}{8} \right] + \left[ k_2 \times \frac{(p_2^2 - 1)}{8} \right] + \cdots + \left[ k_m \times \frac{(p_m^2 - 1)}{8} \right] \\ &\equiv \frac{((p_1^{k_1})^2 - 1)}{8} + \frac{((p_2^{k_2})^2 - 1)}{8} + \cdots + \frac{((p_m^{k_m})^2 - 1)}{8} \\ &\equiv \frac{(p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_m^{k_m})^2 - 1}{8} \equiv \frac{n^2 - 1}{2} \pmod{2} \end{aligned}$$

We have our required result.

12. We need to prove  $\left( \frac{2}{n} \right) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{8}(n^2 - 1)}$ . How?

We use the result of Lemma (7.23) part (b):

$$\sum_{j=1}^m k_j \times \frac{(p_j^2 - 1)}{8} \equiv \frac{n^2 - 1}{8} \pmod{2}$$

Also Corollary (7.18):

$$\left( \frac{2}{p} \right) = (-1)^{\frac{p^2 - 1}{8}}$$

*Proof.*

Let the prime decomposition of  $n = p_1^{k_1} \times p_2^{k_2} \times \cdots \times p_m^{k_m}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) &= \left(\frac{2}{p_1}\right)^{k_1} \times \left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right)^{k_2} \times \left(\frac{2}{p_3}\right)^{k_3} \times \cdots \times \left(\frac{2}{p_m}\right)^{k_m} && [\text{By the Jacobi definition (7.21)}] \\
 &= \left[(-1)^{\frac{p_1^2-1}{8}}\right]^{k_1} \times \left[(-1)^{\frac{p_2^2-1}{8}}\right]^{k_2} \times \cdots \times \left[(-1)^{\frac{p_m^2-1}{8}}\right]^{k_m} && [\text{By Corollary (7.18)} \quad \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}] \\
 &= (-1)^{k_1 \left(\frac{p_1^2-1}{8}\right) + k_2 \left(\frac{p_2^2-1}{8}\right) + \cdots + k_m \left(\frac{p_m^2-1}{8}\right)} && [\text{By rules of indices } (a^x)^y = a^{xy}] \\
 &= (-1)^{k_1 \left(\frac{p_1^2-1}{8}\right) + k_2 \left(\frac{p_2^2-1}{8}\right) + \cdots + k_m \left(\frac{p_m^2-1}{8}\right)} && [\text{By rules of indices } a^x a^y = a^{x+y} \text{ with } a = (-1)] \\
 &= (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^m k_j \left(\frac{p_j^2-1}{8}\right)} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{8}(n^2-1)} && [\text{By (7.23) (b)} \quad \sum_{j=1}^m k_j \times \frac{(p_j^2-1)}{8} \equiv \frac{n^2-1}{8} \pmod{2}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have our result.

13. You are asked to show the following needed result in question 5:

$$\left(\frac{b^x}{k}\right) = \left(\frac{b}{k}\right)^x \quad (**)$$

We use this result in the proof of GLQR (7.25).

*Proof*

Writing the prime decomposition of  $m$  and  $n$  in compact form:

$$m = q_1^{k_1} \times q_2^{k_2} \times \cdots \times q_r^{k_r} = \prod_{i=1}^r q_i^{k_i} \quad \text{and} \quad n = p_1^{\lambda_1} \times p_2^{\lambda_2} \times \cdots \times p_s^{\lambda_s} = \prod_{j=1}^s p_j^{\lambda_j}$$

Using this notation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) &= \prod_{j=1}^s \left(\frac{m}{p_j}\right)^{\lambda_j} && \left[ \text{By definition (7.21)} \quad \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p_1}\right)^{k_1} \times \left(\frac{a}{p_2}\right)^{k_2} \times \cdots \times \left(\frac{a}{p_m}\right)^{k_m} \right] \\
 &= \prod_{j=1}^s \left( \frac{q_1^{k_1} \times q_2^{k_2} \times \cdots \times q_r^{k_r}}{p_j} \right)^{\lambda_j} && [\text{Because } m = q_1^{k_1} \times q_2^{k_2} \times \cdots \times q_r^{k_r}] \\
 &= \prod_{j=1}^s \left[ \left(\frac{q_1^{k_1}}{p_j}\right) \times \left(\frac{q_2^{k_2}}{p_j}\right) \times \cdots \times \left(\frac{q_r^{k_r}}{p_j}\right) \right]^{\lambda_j} && \left[ \text{Because } \left(\frac{a \times b}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \times \left(\frac{b}{p}\right) \right] \\
 &= \prod_{j=1}^s \left[ \left(\frac{q_1}{p_j}\right)^{k_1} \times \left(\frac{q_2}{p_j}\right)^{k_2} \times \cdots \times \left(\frac{q_r}{p_j}\right)^{k_r} \right]^{\lambda_j} && [\text{By (**)}] \\
 &= \prod_{j=1}^s \left[ \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{q_i}{p_j}\right)^{k_i} \right]^{\lambda_j} = \prod_{j=1}^s \left[ \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{q_i}{p_j}\right)^{k_i \times \lambda_j} \right] && \left[ \text{Using rules of indices } (a^x)^y = a^{x \times y} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have the result the other way round:

$$\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^r \left[ \prod_{j=1}^s \left(\frac{p_j}{q_i}\right)^{\lambda_j \times k_i} \right]$$

Multiplying these two derivations  $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^s \left[ \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{q_i}{p_j}\right)^{\lambda_j \times k_i} \right]$  and

$$\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^r \left[ \prod_{j=1}^s \left(\frac{p_j}{q_i}\right)^{\lambda_j \times k_i} \right] \text{ and remembering that multiplication is commutative}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) \times \left(\frac{n}{m}\right) &= \prod_{j=1}^s \left[ \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{q_i}{p_j}\right)^{\lambda_j \times k_i} \right] \times \prod_{i=1}^r \left[ \prod_{j=1}^s \left(\frac{p_j}{q_i}\right)^{\lambda_j \times k_i} \right] \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^r \left[ \left(\frac{q_i}{p_j}\right)^{\lambda_j \times k_i} \left(\frac{p_j}{q_i}\right)^{\lambda_j \times k_i} \right] \quad \left[ \text{Because multiplication is commutative } xy = yx \right] \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^r \left[ \left(\frac{q_i}{p_j}\right) \left(\frac{p_j}{q_i}\right) \right]^{\lambda_j \times k_i} \quad \left[ \text{Because } x^m y^m = [xy]^m \text{ with } x = \left(\frac{q_i}{p_j}\right) \text{ and } y = \left(\frac{p_j}{q_i}\right) \right] \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^r \left[ (-1)^{\left(\frac{p_j-1}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{q_i-1}{2}\right)} \right]^{\lambda_j \times k_i} \quad \left[ \text{By (7.16) } \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \times \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)} \right] \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^r \left[ (-1)^{\left(\lambda_j \times k_i\right) \times \left(\frac{p_j-1}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{q_i-1}{2}\right)} \right] \quad \left[ \text{By rules of indices } (x^m)^n = x^{mn} \text{ with } x = (-1) \right] \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^r \left[ (-1)^{\lambda_j \left(\frac{p_j-1}{2}\right) \times k_i \left(\frac{q_i-1}{2}\right)} \right] \quad \left[ \text{Rearranging the index multiplication} \right] \end{aligned}$$

So that we don't get lost in the notation, let

$$x_i = k_i \left(\frac{q_i - 1}{2}\right) \text{ and } y_j = \lambda_j \left(\frac{p_j - 1}{2}\right). \text{ Then from the last line we have}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^r \left[ (-1)^{\lambda_j \binom{p_j-1}{2} \times k_i \binom{q_i-1}{2}} \right] &= \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^r \left[ (-1)^{y_j \times x_i} \right] && \left[ \text{Substituting from above} \right] \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^r \left[ (-1)^{x_i} \right]^{y_j} && \left[ \text{By rules of indices } a^{m \times n} = (a^m)^n \right] \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^s \left[ (-1)^{x_1} (-1)^{x_2} \cdots (-1)^{x_r} \right]^{y_j} && \left[ \text{Expanding } \prod_{i=1}^r \right] \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^s \left[ (-1)^{(x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_r)} \right]^{y_j} && \left[ \text{By the rules of} \right. \\
&&& \left. \text{indices } a^m a^n = a^{m+n} \right] \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^s \left[ (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^r x_i} \right]^{y_j} && (\dagger)
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $b = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i$  and substituting this into  $(\dagger)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{j=1}^s \left[ (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^r x_i} \right]^{y_j} &= \prod_{j=1}^s \left[ (-1)^b \right]^{y_j} = \left[ (-1)^b \right]^{y_1} \times \left[ (-1)^b \right]^{y_2} \times \cdots \times \left[ (-1)^b \right]^{y_s} && \left[ \text{Expanding } \prod_{j=1}^s \right] \\
&= \left[ (-1)^b \right]^{y_1+y_2+\cdots+y_s} && \left[ \text{Using the rules of indices} \right. \\
&&& \left. a^m \times a^n = a^{m+n} \text{ with } a = (-1)^b \right] \\
&= \left[ (-1)^b \right]^{\sum_{j=1}^s y_j} && \left[ \text{Replacing with the sigma notation} \right. \\
&&& \left. \text{for sum} \right] \\
&= (-1)^{b \times \sum_{j=1}^s y_j} && \left[ \text{Using the rules of indices } (a^m)^n = a^{m \times n} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing back  $b = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i$  in the above calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^r \left[ (-1)^{\lambda_j \left\{ \frac{p_j-1}{2} \right\} \times k_i \left\{ \frac{q_i-1}{2} \right\}} \right] &= (-1)^{\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \right\} \times \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^s y_j \right\}} \\
&= (-1)^{\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \left\{ \frac{q_i-1}{2} \right\} \right\} \times \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j \left\{ \frac{p_j-1}{2} \right\} \right\}} \left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{Replacing from above} \\ x_i = k_i \left\{ \frac{q_i-1}{2} \right\} \text{ and } y_j = \lambda_j \left\{ \frac{p_j-1}{2} \right\} \end{array} \right] \\
&= (-1)^{\left\{ \frac{m-1}{2} \right\} \times \left\{ \frac{n-1}{2} \right\}} \left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{By (7.23) (a) } \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \left\{ \frac{q_i-1}{2} \right\} \equiv \frac{m-1}{2} \pmod{2} \\ \text{and } \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j \left\{ \frac{p_j-1}{2} \right\} \equiv \frac{n-1}{2} \pmod{2} \end{array} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $\left( \frac{m}{n} \right) \times \left( \frac{n}{m} \right) = (-1)^{\left\{ \frac{m-1}{2} \right\} \times \left\{ \frac{n-1}{2} \right\}}$ . This completes our proof.